## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

TAMMY FAYE GRANT, on her own behalf	)	
and as Administrator for THE ESTATE	)	
OF CORNELIUS WARE, Deceased,	)	
•	)	04 C 2612
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Judge Lefkow
V.	)	
	)	
CITY OF CHICAGO and CHICAGO POLICE	)	
OFFICER ANTHONY BLAKE,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

## PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ORDER

Plaintiff, TAMMY FAYE GRANT, by and through her attorneys, LOEVY & LOEVY, requests that this Court enter the attached order entering judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants City of Chicago and Anthony Blake. In support, Plaintiff states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff brought claims against Defendants on three counts: (1) a § 1983 excessive force claim; (2) a state law survival action; and (3) a state law wrongful death claim.
- On February 9, 2007, after a jury trial on the liability phase of those claims, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Plaintiff on all three claims.
- The damages phase of the bifurcated jury trial was scheduled to start on February 13, 2007.
- 4. Prior to the damages phase, the parties, through their counsel, stipulated and agreed that Defendants would pay Plaintiff \$5.25 million in damages and attorneys fees and costs.

- 5. On Monday, February 12, 2007, counsel for the parties agreed that the parties would present this Court with a judgment order that incorporated the damages stipulation under the jury verdict.
- 6. This Court entered an order on Tuesday, February 13, 2007 that directed the parties to tender a proposed agreed settlement order to the Court on or before February 20, 2007.
- 7. On Tuesday, February 13, 2007, Defendants for the first time advised Plaintiff of their position that the damages judgment would have to be made subject to approval by the Chicago City Council.
- 8. With the Court's deadline in mind, Plaintiff telephoned Defendants seeking to come to an agreement on a proposed settlement order. Despite several requests, Defendants have not provided Plaintiff with a proposed order, but have indicated that the order would have to resemble a traditional settlement agreement, notwithstanding the jury verdict on liability.
- 9. Plaintiff has never agreed to the change in terms of the initial agreement, namely that the damages phase of trial was ending with a stipulation of damages to be incorporated into a judgment order.
- 10. It is Plaintiff's position that the parties agreed to the entry of a judgment on the jury liability verdict. Defendants made that very representation at first, but changed their position after February 12, 2007, after the parties advised the Court of the agreement.<sup>1</sup>

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter the attached proposed Judgment Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To further complicate matters, all counsel for Plaintiff (who coincidentally are family members) have a previously scheduled family vacation during the week of February 19, 2007.

## RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

S/Mark Reyes
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jon Loevy
Arthur Loevy
Mark Reyes
ARDC No. 06209841
LOEVY & LOEVY
312 North May Street
Suite 100
Chicago, IL 60607
(312) 243-5900

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mark Reyes, an attorney, certify that on February 16, 2007, I served this document by ECF electronic filing as to each party who is represented by counsel who uses electronic filing and by facsimile to all other counsel.

S/Mark Reyes